arXiv:1612.07110v2 [math.CA] 4 Jan 2017

HAUSDORFF DIMENSION OF RANDOM LIMSUP SETS

FREDRIK EKSTRÖM AND TOMAS PERSSON

ABSTRACT. We prove bounds for the almost sure value of the Hausdorff dimension of the limsup set of a sequence of balls in $\mathbf{R}^d$ whose centres are independent, identically distributed random variables. The formulas obtained involve the rate of decrease of the radii of the balls and multifractal properties of the measure according to which the balls are distributed, and generalise formulas that are known to hold for particular classes of measures.

1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose we are given a sequence (l_n) of positive numbers and that we randomly toss out arcs of length l_n on a circle of circumference 1. If the centres of the arcs are independent and uniformly distributed, then by the Borel–Cantelli lemma, almost surely almost all points of the circle are covered infinitely often if and only if $\sum l_n$ diverges. In this case, A. Dvoretzky [2] asked the question when almost surely all points of the circle are covered infinitely often. After several partial results, the question was finally answered by L. Shepp [10], who proved that with probability 1, all points are covered infinitely many times if and only if $\sum n^{-2}\exp(l_1+\cdots+l_n)$ diverges.

In case $\sum l_n$ is finite, the set of points that are covered infinitely often is always of zero Lebesgue measure, but may be large in some other sense, for instance Hausdorff dimension. A.-H. Fan and J. Wu [4] studied the case $l_n = n^{-\alpha}$, where $\alpha > 1$ is a fixed number, and proved that the Hausdorff dimension of the set of points covered infinitely often is almost surely equal to $1/\alpha$. A. Durand [1] considered arbitrary lengths l_n and showed that the Hausdorff dimension is almost surely given by the infimum of those t for which $\sum l_n^t$ converges. Moreover, for this t, Durand showed that almost surely the set of points covered infinitely often has what is called large intersections. This means that the set belongs to a class of G_δ sets of Hausdorff dimension at least t, which is closed under bi-Lipschitz mappings and countable intersections.

E. Järvenpää, M. Järvenpää, H. Koivusalo, B. Li and V. Suomala [7] considered a d-dimensional torus and a sequence of ellipsoids satisfying certain regularity conditions. They showed that if the centres of the ellipsoids are chosen randomly and uniformly distributed on the torus, then the Hausdorff dimension of the set of points covered infinitely often is almost surely given by a certain formula. Using potential-theoretic arguments, their result was

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 28A80, 28A78, 60K.

We thank Michał Rams for explaning things for us. T. Persson acknowledges support of the ESI programmes "Mixing Flows and Averaging Methods" and "Normal Numbers: Arithmetic, Computational and Probabilistic Aspects", during which part of this work was done.

generalised by T. Persson [8] to the case when the torus is covered randomly with a sequence of arbitrary open sets. In this case the almost sure value of the Hausdorff dimension was estimated from below, an estimate that can easily be seen to also be an upper estimate in case the sequence of open sets are ellipsoids.

D.-J. Feng, E. Järvenpää, M. Järvenpää, V. Suomala [5] improved on the result by Persson, by considering the cover of a Riemannian manifold with open sets that are independently distributed according to a non-singular measure. They showed that the estimate from below given by Persson also holds in this case, and that it gives the correct result if one considers instead the supremum of all such values obtained by replacing the open sets by their subsets.

In this paper we shall consider an arbitrary Borel probability measure on $\mathbf{R}^d$, and a sequence of positive numbers (r_n). We are interested in the almost sure value of the Hausdorff dimension of the set of points covered infinitely often by balls of radius r_n for which the centres are chosen independently and distributed according to the measure μ. This problem was also considered by S. Seuret [9], but he restricted to the case when μ is a Gibbs measure invariant under an expanding Markov map. Because of this assumption, it is possible to use techniques from thermodynamic formalism, and with such tools Seuret gave the almost sure value of the Hausdorff dimension in terms of multifractal spectra of the measure μ. We will assume nothing about the measure μ and give estimates on the Hausdorff dimension from below and above. Our estimates are, as the formulas by Seuret, in terms of multifractal properties of μ. Under some assumptions on μ, which are weaker than the assumption used by Seuret, our estimates from below and above coincide, and we get a formula for the almost sure value of the Hausdorff dimension.

2. Results

Let μ be a Borel probability measure on $\mathbf{R}^d$ and consider the probability space $(\Omega, \mathbf{P}_\mu)$, where $\Omega = (\mathbf{R}^d)^{\mathbf{N}}$ and $\mathbf{P}_\mu = \mu^{\mathbf{N}}$. For $\alpha > 0$ and ω in Ω, let

$$E_\alpha(\omega) = \limsup_n \overline{B}\big(\omega_n, n^{-\alpha}\big) = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} \overline{B}\big(\omega_n, n^{-\alpha}\big).$$

For every real number s, the event $\{\mathcal{H}^s(E_\alpha) = \infty\}$ is a tail event with respect to $\mathbf{P}_\mu$, and thus $\dim_{\mathrm{H}} E_\alpha$ is $\mathbf{P}_\mu$-a.s. constant by Kolmogorov's zero-one law [11, Theorem IV.1.1]. Let

$$f_\mu(\alpha) = \mathbf{P}_\mu\text{-a.s. value of } \dim_{\mathrm{H}} E_\alpha.$$

By using families of the form $\{\overline{B}(\omega_n, n^{-\alpha})\}_{n=n_0}^{\infty}$ to cover $E_\alpha(\omega)$, it is not so difficult to see that $\dim_{\mathrm{H}} E_\alpha(\omega) \le 1/\alpha$ for every ω, so that $f_\mu(\alpha) \le 1/\alpha$.

The *lower local dimension* and *upper local dimension* of μ at a point x in $\mathbf{R}^d$ are defined by

$$\underline{d}_\mu(x) = \sup\{s;\ \exists c \text{ s.t. } \mu(B(x,r)) \le cr^s \text{ for all } r > 0\}, \qquad \text{and}$$
$$\overline{d}_\mu(x) = \inf\{s;\ \exists c \text{ s.t. } \mu(B(x,r)) \ge cr^s \text{ for all } r > 0\},$$

respectively. Define the *local dimension discrepancy* of μ at x to be

$$\delta_\mu(x) = \overline{d}_\mu(x) - \underline{d}_\mu(x)$$

(this is only defined μ-a.e., namely, at those points where at least one of $\overline{d}_\mu$ and $\underline{d}_\mu$ is finite).

Theorem 1. *If* $1/\alpha < \overline{\dim}_{\mathrm{H}}\,\mu$ *then* $f_\mu(\alpha) \geq 1/\alpha - \delta$, *where*

$$\delta = \operatorname*{ess\,inf}_{\substack{x\sim\mu \\ \underline{d}_\mu(x)>1/\alpha}} \delta_\mu(x).$$

Theorem 1 will be proved at the end of Section 6. Preparations needed for the proof are done in Sections 3–6.

If $A \subset \mathbf{R}$ and $h\colon A \to \mathbf{R}$, we say that h is 1-Lipschitz continuous if $|h(x) - h(y)| \leq |x - y|$ for all $x, y \in A$. If $g\colon A \to \mathbf{R}$, let $\bar{g}$ denote the *increasing 1-Lipschitz hull* of g, defined by

$$\bar{g}(x) = \inf\{h(x);\ h \text{ is increasing, 1-Lipschitz continuous, and } h \geq g\}.$$

Also, define $\tilde{g}$ by $\tilde{g}(x) = x + \sup_{y\geq x}(g(y) - y)$. Then $\tilde{g} \leq \bar{g}$, with equality if g is increasing.

Let

$$F_\mu(s) = \dim_{\mathrm{H}}\{x \in \operatorname{supp}\mu;\ \underline{d}_\mu(x) \leq s\},$$

$$G_\mu(s) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \limsup_{r\to 0} \frac{\log(N_r(s+\varepsilon) - N_r(s-\varepsilon))}{-\log r},$$

where $N_r(s)$ denotes the number of d-dimensional cubes of the form

$$Q = [k_1 r, (k_1+1)r) \times \ldots \times [k_d r, (k_d+1)r)$$

with $k_1, \ldots, k_d \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $\mu(Q) \geq r^s$. The function F_μ is called the *Hausdorff spectrum* of the lower local dimension of μ, and G_μ is called the *upper coarse spectrum* of μ.

Proposition 2. *For every* $\alpha > 0$,

$$\lim_{s\to 1/\alpha^-} F_\mu(s) \leq f_\mu(\alpha) \leq \max\left(F_\mu(1/\alpha),\, \tilde{G}_\mu(1/\alpha)\right).$$

Proposition 2 will be proved in Section 7. With a proof similar to that of Proposition 2, we can also show the following alternative upper bound. The *packing dimension* of a set $A \subset \mathbf{R}^d$ can be expressed as

(1)

$$\dim_{\mathrm{P}} A = \inf\left\{t;\ \exists\{A_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \text{ s.t. } \overline{\dim}_{\mathrm{B}}\, A_i \leq t \text{ for all } i \text{ and } A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty A_i\right\}.$$

The *packing spectrum* of the lower local dimension of μ is defined by

$$H_\mu(s) = \dim_{\mathrm{P}}\{x;\ \underline{d}_\mu(x) \leq s\}.$$

Proposition 3. *For every* $\alpha > 0$, *it holds that* $f_\mu(\alpha) \leq \bar{H}_\mu(1/\alpha)$, *where* $\bar{H}_\mu$ *denotes the increasing 1-Lipschitz hull of* H_μ.

The proof is in Section 8. It is possible to have a measure for which $F_\mu = H_\mu$, see for instance Example 5 below.

Our bounds for f_μ simplify if some assumptions are made about μ. If $\delta_\mu = 0$ almost everywhere then $\delta = 0$ in Theorem 1, so that $f_\mu(\alpha) \geq 1/\alpha = \bar{F}_\mu(1/\alpha)$ for $1/\alpha \leq \overline{\dim}_{\mathrm{H}}\,\mu$. If F_μ is concave then $\bar{F}_\mu(s) = F_\mu(s)$ for $s \geq \underline{\dim}_{\mathrm{H}}\,\mu$, using that the point $(\underline{\dim}_{\mathrm{H}}\,\mu, \underline{\dim}_{\mathrm{H}}\,\mu)$ lies in the closure of

the graph of F_μ. Both of these conditions are often satisfied if μ is a Gibbs measure, for instance in the setting of Seuret's paper. Moreover, in that case it is well known that

$$G_\mu(s) = F_\mu(s) = \Psi_\mu(s) := \dim_{\mathrm{H}}\{x;\, \underline{d}_\mu(x) = s\}$$

for $s \leq s_*$, where s_* is the value of s that maximises $\Psi_\mu(s)$, and $F_\mu(s) \geq G_\mu(s)$ for $s \geq s_*$ (see [9, Proposition 1 and 2]). Thus in this case, the upper bound in Proposition 2 is equal to $\bar{F}_\mu$, and

$$f_\mu(\alpha) = \bar{F}_\mu(1/\alpha)$$

for all $\alpha > 0$, that is, our bounds specialise to Seuret's result (see Figure 1).



FIGURE 1. The graphs of F_μ (blue), f_μ (red) and Ψ_μ (black).

The arguments above give the following corollary.

Corollary 4. *Suppose that μ is a measure such that F_μ is concave on the interval on which it is positive, and that the local dimension discrepancy δ_μ vanishes almost everywhere. If either $F_\mu(s) \geq \tilde{G}_\mu(s)$ or $F_\mu(s) = H_\mu(s)$ for $s \geq \overline{\dim}_{\mathrm{H}}\mu$, then for every $\alpha > 0$,*

$$f_\mu(\alpha) = \bar{F}_\mu(1/\alpha).$$

2.1. **An example.** One might conjecture that it always holds that $f_\mu(\alpha) = \bar{F}_\mu(1/\alpha)$. The following example describes a situation where this holds, and where $\bar{F}_\mu$ is not concave.

Example 5. Take $\lambda \in (0, 1/3)$ and let ν be the Bernoulli convolution with parameter λ. Let C be the ternary Cantor set, and for $k = 0, 1, \ldots$ let C_k be the set in the k:th step of the standard construction of C—thus C_k is a union of 2^k intervals of length 3^{-k}. For each k, let μ_k be the sum of 2^k affine images of ν, located in the middle ninths of the intervals of C_k. Equivalently, each component of μ_k is concentrated in the middle third of one of the gaps that appear when C_{k+1} is constructed from C_k. The total mass of μ_k is 2^k.

Let $\beta > 1$ and define the probability measure

$$\mu = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^\infty 2^{-\beta k}\mu_k}{\sum_{k=0}^\infty 2^{(1-\beta)k}} = \left(1 - 2^{1-\beta}\right)\sum_{k=0}^\infty 2^{-\beta k}\mu_k.$$

It will be shown that the lower and upper local dimensions of μ agree everywhere, and F_μ will be computed. The support of μ is

$$\operatorname{supp}\mu = C \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{supp}\mu_k \right).$$

If $x \in \operatorname{supp}\mu_k$ for some k then

$$\underline{d}_\mu(x) = \overline{d}_\mu(x) = \frac{\log 2}{-\log \lambda} =: s_0$$

(this is the dimension of the Bernoulli convolution), and if $x \notin \operatorname{supp}\mu$ then $\underline{d}_\mu(x) = \overline{d}_\mu(x) = \infty$.

Consider some $x \in C$ and $r \in (0,1)$, and let n be the unique integer such that $3^{-(n+1)} \le r < 3^{-n}$. Then $[x-r, x+r]$ includes the interval of C_{n+1} that contains x, and thus $\mu_{n+l}([x-r, x+r]) \ge 2^{l-1}$ for $l = 1, 2, \ldots$. It follows that

$$\mu([x-r, x+r]) \ge \left(1 - 2^{1-\beta}\right) \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\beta(n+l)} 2^{l-1} = 2^{-\beta(n+1)}.$$

On the other hand,

$$[x-r, x+r] \cap \operatorname{supp}\mu_k = \emptyset \qquad \text{for } k \le n-2,$$

and $[x-r, x+r]$ intersects just one interval of C_{n-1} so that $\mu_{n-1+l} \le 2^l$ for $l = 0, 1, \ldots$. It follows that

$$\mu([x-r, x+r]) \le \left(1 - 2^{1-\beta}\right) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\beta(n-1+l)} 2^{l} = 2^{-\beta(n-1)}.$$

These bounds for the measure of $[x-r, x+r]$ show that

$$\underline{d}_\mu(x) = \overline{d}_\mu(x) = \frac{\beta \log 2}{\log 3} =: s_1$$

for $x \in C$.

In summary,

$$\underline{d}_\mu(x) = \begin{cases} s_0 & \text{if } x \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{supp}\mu_k \\ s_1 & \text{if } x \in C \\ \infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

which implies that

$$F_\mu(s) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s < s_0 \\ s_0 & \text{if } s_0 \le s < s_1 \\ d_1 & \text{if } s_1 \le s, \end{cases}$$

where $d_1 = \log 2 / \log 3$. The graphs of F_μ and $\bar{F}_\mu$ are shown in the Figure 2.

Since the lower and upper local dimensions of μ agree everywhere, the discrepancy of μ is 0, and thus Theorem 1 implies that $f_\mu(\alpha) = \bar{F}_\mu(1/\alpha)$ for $1/\alpha \le s_0$. Proposition 2 and 3 show that $F_\mu(1/\alpha-) \le f_\mu(\alpha) \le \bar{F}_\mu(1/\alpha)$, using that $F_\mu = H_\mu$ for the measure μ, since the sub-level sets of $\underline{d}_\mu$ are



FIGURE 2. The graphs of F_μ (blue) and $\bar{F}_\mu$ (red).

regular Cantor sets and thus have equal Hausdorff and packing dimension. It remains to show that

$$f_\mu(\alpha) \geq \frac{1}{\alpha} - (s_1 - d_1) = \frac{1}{\alpha} - (\beta - 1)\frac{\log 2}{\log 3}$$

for $1/\alpha \leq s_1$. This will be done in Section 9.

2.2. **Some conventions, definitions and facts.** By the natural numbers we mean the set $\{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$, and sequences will generally be indexed starting from 1. All measures on topological spaces below are Borel measures, but this will not be explicitly stated each time. Thus "measure" below should be interpreted as "Borel measure" whenever such an interpretation makes sense.

The open and closed balls with centre x and radius r are denoted by $B(x, r)$ and $\overline{B}(x, r)$ respectively. If B is a ball (open or closed), then $x(B)$ denotes its centre and $r(B)$ its radius.

Let μ be a measure on $\mathbf{R}^d$. The *upper Hausdorff dimension* and *upper packing dimension* of μ are defined by

$$\overline{\dim}_{\mathrm{H}}\,\mu = \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{x\sim\mu} \underline{d}_\mu(x)\,, \qquad \text{and}$$
$$\overline{\dim}_{\mathrm{P}}\,\mu = \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{x\sim\mu} \overline{d}_\mu(x)\,,$$

respectively. If A is a set of full μ-measure, then $\dim_{\mathrm{H}} A \geq \overline{\dim}_{\mathrm{H}}\,\mu$. The measure μ is (c, s)-*uniform* if μ-a.e. x is such that

$$\mu(\overline{B}(x, r)) \leq cr^s \qquad \text{for every } r > 0,$$

and μ is $(c, s)'$-*uniform* if μ-a.e. x is such that

$$\mu\left(\overline{B}(x, r) \setminus \{x\}\right) \leq cr^s \qquad \text{for every } r > 0.$$

If μ is (c, s)-uniform then every Borel set A satisfies $\mu(A) \leq c|A|^s$, where $|A|$ denotes the diameter of A. Any non-atomic measure that is $(c, s)'$-uniform is also (c, s)-uniform. We will use two variants of the t-energy of μ, namely,

$$I_t(\mu) = \iint |x - y|^{-t}\,\mathrm{d}\mu(x)\,\mathrm{d}\mu(y), \qquad \text{and}$$
$$I'_t(\mu) = \iint_{x\neq y} |x - y|^{-t}\,\mathrm{d}\mu(x)\,\mathrm{d}\mu(y).$$

For non-atomic measures they are the same, but I_t' gives some information about discrete measures as well. It is well known that if $I_t(\mu) < 0$ then every set of positive μ-measure has Hausdorff dimension greater than or equal to t.

3. A lemma about the energy integral

If μ is a σ-finite measure on $\mathbf{R}^d$ and φ is a non-negative measurable function on $\mathbf{R}^d$, then

$$\int \varphi \, \mathrm{d}\mu = \int_0^\infty \mu\left\{ y \in \mathbf{R}^d;\, \varphi(y) \geq z \right\} \mathrm{d}z \,,$$

since by Fubini's theorem both sides are equal to the $\mu \times \mathcal{L}$-measure of the set $\{(y,z) \in \mathbf{R}^d \times [0,\infty];\, z \leq \varphi(y)\}$. In particular, for $t > 0$ and x in $\mathbf{R}^d$,

$$\int_{x \neq y} |x-y|^{-t} \, \mathrm{d}\mu\,(y) = \int_0^\infty \mu\left(\overline{B}\left(x, z^{-1/t}\right) \setminus \{x\} \right) \mathrm{d}z \,. \tag{2}$$

Lemma 6. *Let μ be a $(c,s)'$-uniform measure on $\mathbf{R}^d$ and let $t \in (0,s)$. Then for every Borel subset A of $\mathbf{R}^d$,*

$$I_t'\left(\mu|_A\right) \leq \frac{cs}{s-t} |A|^{s-t} \mu(A).$$

Proof. Applying (2) to $\mu|_A$ gives for $\mu|_A$-a.e. x,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x \neq y} |x-y|^{-t} \, \mathrm{d}\mu|_A\,(y) &= \int_0^\infty \mu|_A \left(\overline{B}\left(x, z^{-1/t}\right) \setminus \{x\} \right) \mathrm{d}z \\ &\leq c \int_0^\infty \min\left(|A|^s,\, z^{-s/t} \right) \mathrm{d}z \;=\; \frac{cs}{s-t} |A|^{s-t}. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating this over x with respect to $\mu|_A$ proves the lemma. □

4. Fractal trees

Definition. *A* fractal tree *is a triple $(\mathcal{B}, R, \pi)$ such that*

- *i) $\mathcal{B}$ is a set of closed balls in $\mathbf{R}^d$, and $R \in \mathcal{B}$,*
- *ii) π is a function $\mathcal{B} \setminus \{R\} \to \mathcal{B}$ such that for every $B \in \mathcal{B}$ there exists $g \in \{0,1,2,\ldots\}$ such that $\pi^g(B) = R$, and*
- *iii) for every $B \in \mathcal{B}$, the balls in $\pi^{-1}(B)$ have the same radius, are disjoint and included in B, and $2 \leq \#\pi^{-1}(B) < \infty$.*

The ball R is the *root* of the tree, $\pi(B)$ is the *parent* of B and $\pi^{-1}(B)$ are the *children* of B. The number g in *ii)* is uniquely determined since R does not have a parent, and thus defines a function $g\colon \mathcal{B} \to \{0,1,2,\ldots\}$. The number $g(B)$ is the *generation* of B. From *iii)* it follows that there are only finitely many balls of any given generation, and in particular $\mathcal{B}$ is countable. Property *iii)* also implies that $r(B) \leq D2^{-g(B)}$, where D is the diameter of the balls of generation 1. A ball $A \in \mathcal{B}$ is an *ancestor* of B, denoted $A \preccurlyeq B$, if there is some $k \in \{0,1,2,\ldots\}$ such that $\pi^k(B) = A$.

Associated to a fractal tree $(\mathcal{B}, R, \pi)$ is the set $K(\mathcal{B}) = \limsup \mathcal{B}$, consisting of those points that are contained in infinitely many balls in $\mathcal{B}$. The

set $K(\mathcal{B})$ is totally disconnected and perfect, and can also be expressed as a decreasing intersection of compact sets by

$$K(\mathcal{B}) = \bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} \Big(\bigcup_{g(B)=k} B \Big).$$

A probability measure θ that is concentrated on $K(\mathcal{B})$ can be defined as follows. For $B \in \mathcal{B}$ let ν_B be the uniform probability measure on the centres of the children of B, that is

$$\nu_B = \frac{1}{\#\pi^{-1}(B)} \sum_{C \in \pi^{-1}(B)} \delta_{x(C)},$$

and define

$$\theta(B) = \prod_{R \neq A \preccurlyeq B} \nu_{\pi(A)}(A).$$

Then $\theta(R) = 1$, and

$$\theta(B) = \sum_{C \in \pi^{-1}(B)} \theta(C)$$

for every $B \in \mathcal{B}$. It follows that θ can be extended to an additive measure on the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ on $K(\mathcal{B})$ consisting of the intersections of $K(\mathcal{B})$ with finite unions of balls in $\mathcal{B}$. Since the sets in $\mathcal{A}$ are both compact and open in the relative topology on $K(\mathcal{B})$, it is not possible to express a set in $\mathcal{A}$ as a union of infinitely many disjoint sets in $\mathcal{A}$. Hence θ is vacuously σ-additive on $\mathcal{A}$, and can therefore be extended to a probability measure on $K(\mathcal{B})$ by Carathéodory's theorem [11, Section II.3].

Lemma 7. *Let $(\mathcal{B}, R, \pi)$ be a fractal tree such that whenever $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\pi(B_1) = \pi(B_2)$ then*

$$\operatorname{dist}(B_1, B_2) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left| x(B_1) - x(B_2) \right|.$$

Let s, t and $\{c_B\}_{B \in \mathcal{B}}$ be positive numbers such that $t < s$ and ν_B is $(c_B, s)'$-uniform for every $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Then

$$I_t(\theta) \leq \frac{2^t s}{s - t} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \theta(B)^2 c_B |B|^{s-t}.$$

Proof. Since every ball in $\mathcal{B}$ has at least two children, the measure θ is non-atomic. If x_1 and x_2 are distinct points in $K(\mathcal{B})$ then there exist uniquely a ball B in $\mathcal{B}$ and distinct children C_1 and C_2 of B such that $x_1 \in C_1$ and $x_2 \in C_2$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} I_t(\theta) = I_t'(\theta) &= \iint_{x_1 \neq x_2} |x_1 - x_2|^{-t} \, \mathrm{d}\theta(x_1) \, \mathrm{d}\theta(x_2) \\ &= \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} {\sum_B}' \iint_{C_1 \times C_2} |x_1 - x_2|^{-t} \, \mathrm{d}\theta(x_1) \, \mathrm{d}\theta(x_2), \end{aligned}$$

where $\sum_B'$ denotes the sum over all pairs (C_1, C_2) of distinct children of B. The integral can be estimated by

$$\iint_{C_1 \times C_2} |x_1 - x_2|^{-t} \, \mathrm{d}\theta(x_1) \, \mathrm{d}\theta(x_2) \leq 2^t \left| x(C_1) - x(C_2) \right|^{-t} \theta(C_1)\theta(C_2),$$

and hence, since $\theta(C_i) = \theta(B)\nu_B(x(C_i))$, the inner sum is less than or equal to

$$2^t\theta(B)^2 {\sum_B}' |x(C_1) - x(C_2)|^{-t} \nu_B(x(C_1))\nu_B(x(C_2)) = 2^t\theta(B)^2 I_t'(\nu_B) \\ \leq \frac{2^t s}{s-t}\theta(B)^2 c_B |B|^{s-t},$$

using Lemma 6 at the last step. □

5. Probabilistic lemmas

Lemma 8. *Let $(S, \mathbf{P})$ be a probability space and let $(A_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of independent events in S. Let*

$$M_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{P}(A_k) \qquad \text{and} \qquad N_n(s) = \#\{k \leq n;\ s \in A_k\},$$

and assume that $\lim_{n\to\infty} M_n/\log n = \infty$. Then $\mathbf{P}$-a.s.,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{N_n}{M_n} = 1.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$. For every $s \in S$,

$$N_n(s) = \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_{A_k}(s),$$

and thus $\mathbf{E}\, N_n = M_n$. Thus, by the Chernoff bounds [6, Equations (6) and (7)] for sums of independent random variables,

$$\mathbf{P}(\{s;\ |N_n(s) - M_n| > \varepsilon M_n\}) \leq 2e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2 M_n}{3}} = 2n^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{3}\frac{M_n}{\log n}}.$$

This is summable in n, so by the Borel–Cantelli lemma there are $\mathbf{P}$-a.s. only finitely many n such that $|N_n - M_n| > \varepsilon M_n$. Letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ along a countable set concludes the proof. □

The following lemma is a weaker variant of Lemma 19, sufficient for the proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 9. *Let μ be a probability measure on $\mathbf{R}^d$, let A be a Borel subset of $\mathbf{R}^d$ of positive μ-measure and let $\mu_A = \mu|_A/\mu(A)$. Then $f_{\mu_A}(\alpha+\varepsilon) \leq f_\mu(\alpha)$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$.*

Proof. For $\omega \in \Omega$, let $(n_k(\omega))$ be the strictly increasing enumeration of $\{n;\ \omega_n \in A\}$, and define the map $\pi\colon \Omega \to \Omega$ by $\pi(\omega)_k = \omega_{n_k(\omega)}$. By Lemma 8 (with $S = \Omega$, $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_\mu$ and $A_n = \{\omega;\ \omega_n \in A\}$), $\mathbf{P}_\mu$-a.e. ω satisfies

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{k}{n_k(\omega)} = \mu(A),$$

and for such ω,

$$E_{\alpha+\varepsilon}(\pi(\omega)) = \limsup_k \overline{B}\left(\omega_{n_k(\omega)}, k^{-(\alpha+\varepsilon)}\right) \subset \limsup_k \overline{B}\left(\omega_{n_k(\omega)}, n_k^{-\alpha}\right) \\ = \limsup_{\omega_n \in A} \overline{B}\left(\omega_n, n^{-\alpha}\right) \subset E_\alpha(\omega).$$

Thus for $\mathbf{P}_\mu$-a.e. ω,

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}} E_{\alpha+\varepsilon}(\pi(\omega)) \leq f_\mu(\alpha),$$

and the lemma follows since $\mathbf{P}_\mu \circ \pi^{-1} = \mathbf{P}_{\mu_A}$. □

Lemma 10. *Let μ be a (c,s)-uniform probability measure on $\mathbf{R}^d$, let $\varepsilon \in (0,s)$ and let $(n_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of natural numbers such that*

$$\liminf_{k\to\infty} \log(n_k)/k > 0.$$

Then for $\mathbf{P}_\mu$-a.e. $\omega \in \Omega$ there is a natural number $k_0 = k_0(\omega)$ such that for every $k \geq k_0$ and every ball B in $\mathbf{R}^d$,

$$\#\left(\{\omega_{n_k}, \ldots, \omega_{2n_k-1}\} \cap B\right) \leq W \max\left(n_k r(B)^{s-\varepsilon}, 1\right),$$

where

$$W = 4^s \max\left(ce,\, s\varepsilon^{-1},\, 2\right).$$

Proof. The set

$$\Omega_0 = \left\{\omega;\, \mu\left(\overline{B}(\omega_i, r)\right) \leq cr^s \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, \text{ and } r > 0\right\}$$

has full $\mathbf{P}_\mu$-measure. Let $E_n \subset \Omega_0$ be the event that there is a ball B such that

$$\#\left(\{\omega_n, \ldots, \omega_{2n-1}\} \cap B\right) \geq W \max\left(nr(B)^{s-\varepsilon}, 1\right) + 1. \tag{3}$$

It will be shown that $\sum_k \mathbf{P}_\mu(E_{n_k}) < \infty$, so that the statement follows by the Borel–Cantelli lemma.

Let $E_n^m \subset \Omega_0$ be the event that there is some $i \in \{n, \ldots, 2n-1\}$ such that

$$\#\left(\{\omega_n, \ldots, \omega_{2n-1}\} \cap \overline{B}\left(\omega_i, 2^{-m}\right)\right) \geq 4^{-s} W n 2^{-m(s-\varepsilon)} + 1. \tag{4}$$

If (3) holds for a ball $\overline{B}(x,r)$ such that $nr^{s-\varepsilon} < 1$ then (3) also holds for $\overline{B}(x, r_1)$, where r_1 is such that $nr_1^{s-\varepsilon} = 1$, since replacing r by r_1 increases the left side and does not change the right side. Thus if $\omega \in E_n$ then (3) must hold for some ball B of radius r such that $nr^{s-\varepsilon} \geq 1$, and moreover (3) can only be satisfied if $Wr^{s-\varepsilon} < 1$, since otherwise the right side is greater than n. It follows in particular from (3) that there is some $i \in \{n, \ldots, 2n-1\}$ such that $\omega_i \in B$, and then (4) holds with m such that $2r \leq 2^{-m} < 4r$, since $B \subset \overline{B}(\omega_i, 2^{-m})$. This shows that $E_n \subset \bigcup_{m=m_0}^{m_1(n)} E_n^m$, where

$$m_0 = \left\lfloor \frac{\log W}{(s-\varepsilon)\log 2} \right\rfloor - 2 \qquad \text{and} \qquad m_1(n) = \left\lfloor \frac{\log n}{(s-\varepsilon)\log 2} \right\rfloor.$$

Fix n and $m \in [m_0, m_1(n)]$ and let $K = \left\lceil 4^{-s} W n 2^{-m(s-\varepsilon)} \right\rceil$. For ω to lie in E_n^m, there are n choices for i in (4) and then there must be at least K of the remaining $n-1$ points in $\{\omega_n, \ldots, \omega_{2n-1}\}$ that lie in $\overline{B}(\omega_i, 2^{-m})$. Since $\mu(\overline{B}(\omega_i, 2^{-m})) \leq c2^{-ms}$, this implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}_\mu(E_n^m) &\leq n\binom{n-1}{K}\left(c2^{-ms}\right)^K \leq n\left(\frac{en}{K}\right)^K \left(c2^{-ms}\right)^K \\ &\leq n2^{-\varepsilon mK} \leq n2^{-4^{-s}W\varepsilon m n 2^{-m(s-\varepsilon)}},\end{aligned}$$

using a standard estimate for the binomial coefficient, that $W \geq 4^s ce$, and that $m_0 \geq 1$. The last expression has the form $n\exp(n\psi(m))$, where $\psi(x) = -\beta x e^{-\gamma x}$ for some positive β and γ. Since $\psi'(x) = \beta\left(\gamma x - 1\right) e^{-\gamma x}$,

the function ψ is decreasing for $x < \gamma^{-1}$ and increasing for $x > \gamma^{-1}$. Thus the maximum on the interval $[m_0, m_1(n)]$ of ψ, and hence of $n\exp(n\psi(m))$, is attained at one of the endpoints. This gives

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}_\mu(E_n^m) &\le n2^{-4^{-s}W\varepsilon m_0 n 2^{-m_0(s-\varepsilon)}} + n2^{-4^{-s}W\varepsilon m_1(n) n 2^{-m_1(n)(s-\varepsilon)}} \\ &\le n2^{-4^{-s}W\varepsilon m_0 n 2^{-m_0(s-\varepsilon)}} + 2^{4^{-s}W\varepsilon} n^{1-\frac{4^{-s}W\varepsilon}{s-\varepsilon}}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $m_1(n)$ increases logarithmically in n, the estimate of $\mathbf{P}_\mu(E_n^m)$ implies that

$$\mathbf{P}_\mu(E_n) \le \text{const.} \times \left(n2^{-4^{-s}W\varepsilon m_0 n 2^{-m_0(s-\varepsilon)}} \log n + n^{1-\frac{4^{-s}W\varepsilon}{s-\varepsilon}} \log n \right)$$

for $n \ge 2$. Here the first term is summable in n, using again that $m_0 \ge 1$, and the second term is summable over (n_k) since $W \ge 4^s s\varepsilon^{-1}$ and (n_k) increases exponentially. □

6. Proof of Theorem 1

If $\mathcal{C}$ is a finite subset of $\mathbf{R}^d$, define the probability measure

$$\nu_{\mathcal{C}} = \frac{1}{\#\mathcal{C}} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{C}} \delta_x.$$

Lemma 11. *Let μ be a (c,s)-uniform probability measure on $\mathbf{R}^d$, let $u \ge \overline{\dim}_{\mathrm{P}}\, \mu$, and let $\varepsilon \in (0,s)$. Let $(r_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} nr_n^{s-\varepsilon} = 0$, let n_0 be a natural number, and let B be a ball in $\mathbf{R}^d$ such that $\mu(B) > 0$. Let $W = 4^s \max\left(ce,\, s\varepsilon^{-1},\, 2\right)$. Then for $\mathbf{P}_\mu$-a.e. ω there is a natural number $n \ge n_0$ and a set $\mathcal{C} \subset \{\omega_n, \dots, \omega_{2n-1}\} \cap B$ such that*

i) $\#\mathcal{C} \ge \frac{\mu(B)n}{2W}$,
ii) $|x_1 - x_2| \ge 8r_n$ *for all pairs of distinct points* x_1 *and* x_2 *in* $\mathcal{C}$,
iii) $\nu_{\mathcal{C}}$ *is* $(c_B, s-\varepsilon)'$*-uniform, with* $c_B = \frac{2W^2}{\mu(B)}$, *and*
iv) $\mu\left(\overline{B}(x, r_n)\right) \ge r_n^{u+\varepsilon}$ *for every* $x \in \mathcal{C}$.

Proof. It may be assumed that n_0 is large enough so that $n(8r_n)^{s-\varepsilon} \le 1$ for every $n \ge n_0$. Let

$$G_m = \{x \in B;\ \mu\left(\overline{B}(x, r_n)\right) \ge r_n^{u+\varepsilon} \text{ for all } n \ge m\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_n^\omega = \{\omega_i \in G_n;\ i \in \{n, \dots, 2n-1\}\}.$$

Since (G_m) is increasing and by Lemma 8,

$$\begin{aligned}\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{\#\mathcal{C}_n^\omega}{n} \ge \lim_{n\to\infty} \Bigg(&\frac{\#\{\omega_i \in G_m;\ i \in \{1, \dots, 2n-1\}\}}{n} \\ &- \frac{\#\{\omega_i \in G_m;\ i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}\}}{n} \Bigg) = \mu(G_m)\end{aligned}$$

for every m and $\mathbf{P}_\mu$-a.e. ω. Moreover, $\lim_{m\to\infty} \mu(G_m) = \mu(B)$ by the definition of $\overline{\dim}_{\mathrm{P}}\, \mu$, and thus

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{\#\mathcal{C}_n^\omega}{n} \ge \mu(B)$$

for $\mathbf{P}_\mu$-a.e. ω. This together with Lemma 10 implies that for $\mathbf{P}_\mu$-a.e. ω there is some $n \geq n_0$ such that

$$\#(\mathcal{C}_n^\omega \cap B) \geq \frac{\mu(B)n}{2}, \text{ and} \tag{5}$$

$$\#(\mathcal{C}_n^\omega \cap \overline{B}(x,r)) \leq W \max\left(nr^{s-\varepsilon},\, 1\right) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbf{R}^d,\ r > 0. \tag{6}$$

Fix such ω and n, and for $i \in \{n, \ldots, 2n-1\}$ let

$$M(i) = \{j \in \{i+1, \ldots, 2n-1\};\, |\omega_i - \omega_j| \leq 8r_n\};$$

then by (6) and since $n(8r_n)^{s-\varepsilon} \leq 1$, the set $M(i)$ has at most $W-1$ elements. Now $\mathcal{C}$ can be constructed recursively as follows. For $j \in \{n, \ldots, 2n-1\}$, assume that $\mathcal{C} \cap \{\omega_n, \ldots, \omega_{j-1}\}$ has be defined, and include ω_j in $\mathcal{C}$ if and only if $\omega_j \in B$ and there is no $i < j$ such that $\omega_i \in \mathcal{C}$ and $j \in M(i)$. Then it is clear that $\mathcal{C} \subset \{\omega_n, \ldots, \omega_{2n-1}\} \cap B$ and that *ii)* and *iv)* hold. If $\omega_j \in \mathcal{C}_n^\omega \setminus \mathcal{C}$ then there is some i such that $\omega_i \in \mathcal{C}$ and $j \in M(i)$, and thus

$$\#\mathcal{C}_n^\omega \setminus \mathcal{C} \leq \sum_{i;\, \omega_i \in \mathcal{C}} \#M(i) \leq (W-1)\#\mathcal{C},$$

so that by (5),

$$\#\mathcal{C} \geq \frac{\#\mathcal{C}_n^\omega}{W} \geq \frac{\mu(B)n}{2W},$$

that is, property *i)* holds. Then property *iii)* follows from *i)* and (6). □

Lemma 12. *Let μ be a (c,s)-uniform probability measure on $\mathbf{R}^d$ and let $u \geq \overline{\dim}_{\mathrm{P}}\, \mu$. Then*

$$f_\mu(\alpha) \geq \frac{1}{\alpha} + s - u.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, s)$ and

$$t < \frac{1}{\alpha} + s - u - 3\varepsilon,$$

and for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, let $r_n = (2n)^{-\alpha}/2$. A triple $(\mathcal{B}_0, R_0, \pi_0)$ is a *pre-tree* if it satisfies the conditions in the definition of a fractal tree, but with *iii)* replaced by

iii') for every $B \in \mathcal{B}_0$, the balls in $\pi_0^{-1}(B)$ have the same radius, are separated by a distance greater than twice that radius, and are centred in B; and $2 \leq \#\pi_0^{-1}(B) < \infty$.

For $\mathbf{P}_\mu$-a.e. ω, the following recursive construction of a pre-tree $(\mathcal{B}_0, R_0, \pi_0)$ can be done, since each step in the construction works $\mathbf{P}_\mu$-a.s. and there are only countable many steps. Let R_0 be any closed ball such that $\mu(R_0) > 0$ and put R_0 in $\mathcal{B}_0$. Assuming that generation g of the pre-tree has been defined and that every ball in $\mathcal{B}_0$ so far has positive μ-measure, consider a ball B in $\mathcal{B}_0$ of generation g. Let $W = 4^s \max\left(ce,\, s\varepsilon^{-1},\, 2\right)$ and let n_0 be an integer large enough so that

$$\frac{\mu(B)n}{2W^2} \geq r_n^{-1/\alpha+\varepsilon}$$

for $n \geq n_0$. Then for $\mathbf{P}_\mu$-a.e. ω there is some $n \geq n_0$ and a set $\mathcal{C} \subset \{\omega_n, \ldots, \omega_{2n-1}\} \cap B \cap \operatorname{supp}\mu$ having the properties *i)–iv)* of Lemma 11. Extend $(\mathcal{B}_0, R_0, \pi_0)$ by setting

$$\pi_0^{-1}(B) = \left\{\overline{B}(x, r_n);\ x \in \mathcal{C}\right\}.$$

Then every ball in $\pi_0^{-1}(B)$ has positive μ-measure, and

$$\#\mathcal{C} \geq r_n^{-1/\alpha+\varepsilon} \tag{7}$$

by the choice of n_0 and property *i)* of Lemma 11.

For ω such that $(\mathcal{B}_0, R_0, \pi_0)$ can be constructed, let $R = \overline{B}(x(R_0), 2r(R_0))$ and

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{\overline{B}(x, 2r);\ \overline{B}(x, r) \in \mathcal{B}_0\right\},$$

and define π in the obvious way. Then $(\mathcal{B}, R, \pi)$ is a fractal tree and $K(\mathcal{B}) \subset E_\alpha(\omega)$ since every ball in $\mathcal{B}\setminus\{R\}$ is a subset of a ball of the form $\overline{B}(\omega_k, k^{-\alpha})$. By property *ii)* of Lemma 11, if B_1 and B_2 are distinct balls in $\mathcal{B}$ such that $\pi(B_1) = \pi(B_2)$, then

$$\operatorname{dist}(B_1,\, B_2) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left|x(B_1) - x(B_2)\right|.$$

Define the probability measure θ on $K(\mathcal{B})$ as in Section 4. For $A \in \mathcal{B}$, let $\rho(A)$ denote the radius of the balls in $\pi^{-1}(A)$. If a and b are two elements of $[0, \infty]$, let $a \lesssim b$ mean that a is finite or b is infinite. Thus $a \lesssim b$ if and only if there is a positive number γ such that $a \leq \gamma b$ or $a \leq b + \gamma$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} I_t(\theta) &\lesssim \sum_{B\in\mathcal{B}} \theta(B)^2 c_B |B|^{s-\varepsilon-t} \lesssim \sum_{A\in\mathcal{B}} \left(\rho(A)^{s-\varepsilon-t} \sum_{B\in\pi^{-1}(A)} \theta(B)^2 c_B\right) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{A\in\mathcal{B}} \left(\frac{\theta(A)\rho(A)^{s-\varepsilon-t}}{\left(\#\pi^{-1}(A)\right)^2} \sum_{B\in\pi^{-1}(A)} \frac{1}{\mu(B)}\right) \lesssim \sum_{A\in\mathcal{B}} \theta(A)\rho(A)^{s+1/\alpha-t-u-3\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the first step is by Lemma 7, the second is valid since every ball $B \in \mathcal{B}\setminus\{R\}$ appears in the sum on the right and $|B| = 2\rho(A)$ for B in the inner sum, the third step uses that $\theta(B) = \theta(A)/\#\pi^{-1}(A)$ and property *iii)* of Lemma 11, and the last step holds since $\#\pi^{-1}(A) \geq \rho(A)^{-1/\alpha+\varepsilon}$ by (7), and by property *iv)* of Lemma 11. Now, if A is of generation g then $\rho(A) \leq D2^{-g}$ where D is the diameter of the balls of generation 1, and the sum of $\theta(A)$ when A runs through a generation is 1. Thus

$$I_t(\theta) \lesssim \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \left(2^{-g(s+1/\alpha-t-u-3\varepsilon)} \sum_{\substack{A\in\mathcal{B}\\ g(A)=g}} \theta(A)\right) = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} 2^{-g(s+1/\alpha-t-u-3\varepsilon)} < \infty,$$

by the choice of t. Thus $\dim_{\mathrm{H}} E_\alpha \geq t$ almost surely, and the lemma follows since t can be taken arbitrarily close to $1/\alpha + s - u$. □

Proof of Theorem 1. By the definition of δ there is an ε_0 such that for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, there is a Borel set A_1 with positive μ-measure such that

$$\underline{d}_\mu(x) > \frac{1}{\alpha} + \varepsilon \qquad \text{and} \qquad \delta_\mu(x) \leq \delta + \varepsilon$$

for all $x \in A_1$. Fix ε and A_1. Then there there is some $s > 1/\alpha$ and a Borel set $A_2 \subset A_1$ with positive μ-measure such that $\underline{d}_\mu(x) \in [s+\varepsilon, s+2\varepsilon)$ for $x \in A_2$. Thus by the definition of δ_μ,

$$s + \varepsilon \le \underline{d}_\mu(x) \le \overline{d}_\mu(x) \le u$$

for $x \in A_2$, where $u = s + \delta + 3\varepsilon$. Next, there is a positive number c and a Borel set $A_3 \subset A_2$ with positive μ-measure, such that whenever $x \in A_3$ then

$$\mu(\overline{B}(x,r)) \le cr^s \qquad \text{for all } r > 0.$$

Let $\mu_1 = \mu|_{A_3}/\mu(A_3)$.

Consider an arbitrary Borel subset A of $\mathbf{R}^d$. If $A \cap A_3 = \emptyset$ then $\mu_1(A) = 0$. Otherwise there is some $x \in A \cap A_3$, and

$$\mu_1(A) \le \mu_1(\overline{B}(x,|A|)) \le \frac{\mu(\overline{B}(x,|A|))}{\mu(A_3)} \le \frac{c}{\mu(A_3)}|A|^s.$$

This shows that μ_1 is (c_1, s)-uniform, with $c_1 = 2^s c/\mu(A_3)$.

By the Lebesgue–Besicovitch differentiation theorem [3, Section 1.7.1], there is a Borel set $A_4 \subset A_3$ such that $\mu(A_4) = \mu(A_3)$ and

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \frac{\mu(\overline{B}(x,r) \cap A_3)}{\mu(\overline{B}(x,r))} = 1$$

for all $x \in A_4$. Thus for μ_1-a.e. x,

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{d}_{\mu_1}(x) &= \limsup_{r\to 0} \frac{\log \mu(\overline{B}(x,r) \cap A_3) - \log \mu(A_3)}{\log r} \\ &= \limsup_{r\to 0} \frac{\log \mu(\overline{B}(x,r))}{\log r} = \overline{d}_\mu(x) \le u.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 9 and Lemma 12,

$$f_\mu(\alpha) \ge f_{\mu_1}(\alpha+\varepsilon) \ge \frac{1}{\alpha+\varepsilon} + s - u = \frac{1}{\alpha+\varepsilon} - \delta - 3\varepsilon.$$

Letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ concludes the proof. □

7. Proof of Proposition 2

7.1. The lower bound in Proposition 2.

Lemma 13. *Let μ be a probability measure on $\mathbf{R}^d$ and let A be a Souslin set such that*

$$A \subset \{x;\, x \in E_\alpha(\omega) \text{ for } \mathbf{P}_\mu\text{-a.e. } \omega\}.$$

Then

$$f_\mu(\alpha) \ge \dim_{\mathrm{H}} A.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since A is a Souslin set, Frostman's lemma implies that there is a probability measure ν such that $\nu(A) = 1$ and ν is (c, s)-uniform

with $s = \dim_{\mathrm{H}} A - \varepsilon$. In particular, $\overline{\dim}_{\mathrm{H}} \nu \geq \dim_{\mathrm{H}} A - \varepsilon$. The expected value of $\nu(E_\alpha(\omega))$ with respect to $\mathbf{P}_\mu$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}\left(\nu(E(\omega))\right) &= \iint \chi_{E_\alpha(\omega)}(x)\,\mathrm{d}\nu(x)\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{P}_\mu(\omega)\\ &= \iint \chi_{E_\alpha(\omega)}(x)\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{P}_\mu(\omega)\,\mathrm{d}\nu(x)\\ &= \int \mathbf{P}_\mu\left(\{\omega\in\Omega;\, x\in E_\alpha(\omega)\}\right)\,\mathrm{d}\nu(x) = 1,\end{aligned}$$

and hence $\nu(E_\alpha(\omega)) = 1$ for $\mathbf{P}_\mu$-a.e. ω. It follows that $\mathbf{P}_\mu$-a.s.,

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}} E_\alpha(\omega) \geq \overline{\dim}_{\mathrm{H}} \nu \geq \dim_{\mathrm{H}} A - \varepsilon.$$

Letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ along a countable set concludes the proof. □

As an application of Lemma 13, we can now prove the lower bound of $f_\mu(\alpha)$ in Proposition 2.

Corollary 14. *For every $\alpha > 0$,*

$$\lim_{s\to 1/\alpha^-} F_\mu(s) \leq f_\mu(\alpha).$$

Proof. By Lemma 13 it suffices to show that if $\underline{d}_\mu(x) < 1/\alpha$, then $x \in E_\alpha(\omega)$ $\mathbf{P}_\mu$-a.s. So assume that $\underline{d}_\mu(x) < 1/\alpha$. Then there is a positive constant C and a sequence $(r_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ converging to 0 such that $r_{k+1}^{1/\alpha}\left\lceil r_k^{-1/\alpha}\right\rceil \leq 1/2$ and

$$\mu(B(x, r_k)) \geq C r_k^{1/\alpha}$$

for every k.

If $n \leq r_{k+1}^{-1/\alpha}$ then

$$\mathbf{P}_\mu\left(x \in B(\omega_n, n^{-\alpha})\right) = \mu(B(x, n^{-\alpha})) \geq \mu(B(x, r_{k+1})) \geq C r_{k+1}^{1/\alpha},$$

and thus

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \mathbf{P}_\mu\left(x \in B(\omega_n, n^{-\alpha})\right) \geq C \sum_{k=1}^\infty r_{k+1}^{1/\alpha}\left(\left\lfloor r_{k+1}^{-1/\alpha}\right\rfloor - \left\lceil r_k^{-1/\alpha}\right\rceil\right).$$

For all large enough k, the k:th term in the sum is greater than or equal to $1/3$, and hence the sum diverges. It then follows by the Borel–Cantelli lemma that $\mathbf{P}_\mu$-a.s., $x \in E_\alpha(\omega)$. □

7.2. **The upper bound in Proposition 2.**

Proposition 15. *For every $\alpha > 0$,*

$$f_\mu(\alpha) \leq \max\left(F_\mu(1/\alpha),\, 1/\alpha + \sup_{s\geq 1/\alpha}\left(G_\mu(s) - s\right)\right).$$

Proof. We will use the same method to prove the statement as was used by Seuret [9, Proposition 5].

Let

$$\mathscr{D}_n = \{[k_1 2^{-n}, (k_1+1)2^{-n}) \times \cdots \times [k_d 2^{-n}, (k_d+1)2^{-n});\, k_j \in \mathbf{Z}\},$$

and given a point x and an integer n, let $D_n(x)$ be the unique $D \in \mathscr{D}_n$ such that $x \in D$. Let $s > 0$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, where ε_0 is a positive number that will be specified. Let

$$A_\varepsilon(n,s) = \left\{x;\, 2^{-(s+\varepsilon)n} \le \mu(D_n(x)) \le 2^{-(s-\varepsilon)n}\right\},$$
$$A_\varepsilon(s) = \limsup_{n\to\infty} A_\varepsilon(n,s).$$

If $x \in A_\varepsilon(s)$ then

$$\mu\left(B\left(x, \sqrt{d}2^{-n}\right)\right) \ge \mu(D_n(x)) \ge 2^{-(s+\varepsilon)n}$$

for infinitely many n, so that $\underline{d}_\mu(x) \le s + \varepsilon$. Thus

$$A_\varepsilon(s) \subset \left\{x;\, \underline{d}_\mu(x) \le s + \varepsilon\right\}.$$

Recall that the coarse spectrum is defined as

$$G_\mu(s) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \limsup_{r\to 0} \frac{\log(N_r(s+\varepsilon) - N_r(s-\varepsilon))}{-\log r},$$

where $N_r(s)$ denotes the number of d-dimensional cubes of the form

$$Q = [k_1 r, (k_1+1)r) \times \ldots \times [k_d r, (k_d+1)r)$$

with $k_1, \ldots, k_d \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $\mu(Q) \ge r^s$. Let $M_\varepsilon(n,s)$ be the number of dyadic cubes in $\mathscr{D}_n$ that are included in $A_\varepsilon(n,s)$. Then

$$G_\mu(s) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log M_\varepsilon(n,s)}{n\log 2},$$

so given $\gamma > 0$, it is possible to choose ε_0 such that

$$M_\varepsilon(n,s) \le 2^{(G_\mu(s)+\gamma)n}$$

for all $\varepsilon \in (0,\varepsilon_0)$ and all $n \ge n_0(\varepsilon)$.

Let

$$K(n) = \left\{k;\, 2^{n/\alpha} \le k < 2^{(n+1)/\alpha}\right\}$$

and let $\tilde{\mathscr{D}}_n$ denote the set of dyadic cubes D in $\mathscr{D}_n$ such that $\omega_k \in D$ for some $k \in K(n)$ and $D \subset A_\varepsilon(n,s)$. Then for every m,

$$E_\alpha(\omega) \cap A_\varepsilon(s) \subset \bigcup_{n\ge m} \bigcup_{D\in\tilde{\mathscr{D}}_n} \hat{D}, \tag{8}$$

where $\hat{D}$ is the cube that is concentric with D and has 3 times the sidelength of D, using that if $k \in K(n)$ and $\omega_k \in D \in \tilde{\mathscr{D}}_n$ then $\overline{B}(\omega_k, k^{-\alpha}) \subset \hat{D}$. Since

$$\mu\left(A_\varepsilon(n,s)\right) \le M_\varepsilon(n,s) 2^{-(s-\varepsilon)n},$$

the expected number of dyadic cubes in $\tilde{\mathscr{D}}_n$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}\left(\#\tilde{\mathscr{D}}_n\right) \le \mu(A_\varepsilon(n,s))\#K(n) &\le M_\varepsilon(n,s) 2^{-(s-\varepsilon)n} 2^{(n+1)/\alpha} \\ &\le 2^{1/\alpha} 2^{(1/\alpha - s + G_\mu(s) + \varepsilon + \gamma)n}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus by Markov's inequality,

$$\mathbf{P}_\mu\left(\#\tilde{\mathscr{D}}_n \ge 2^{(1/\alpha - s + G_\mu(s) + 2\varepsilon + \gamma)n}\right) \le \frac{\mathbf{E}\left(\#\tilde{\mathscr{D}}_n\right)}{2^{(1/\alpha - s + G_\mu(s) + 2\varepsilon + \gamma)n}} \le 2^{1/\alpha} 2^{-\varepsilon n}.$$

It follows by the Borel–Cantelli lemma that almost surely, there is an N such that for each $n > N$, the number of dyadic cubes in $\tilde{\mathscr{D}}_n$ satisfies

$$\#\tilde{\mathscr{D}}_n \le 2^{(1/\alpha - s + G_\mu(s) + 2\varepsilon + \gamma)n}. \tag{9}$$

Assume that there is such an N. Let $\delta > 0$ and choose $m \ge N$ such that $3\sqrt{d}2^{-m} \le \delta$. Then the cubes used to cover $E_\alpha(\omega) \cap A_\varepsilon(s)$ in (8) have diameters less than δ, and thus

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}^t_\delta\left(E_\alpha(\omega) \cap A_\varepsilon(s)\right) &\le \sum_{n \ge m} \sum_{D \in \tilde{\mathscr{D}}_n} |\hat{D}|^t \le \sum_{n \ge m} 2^{(1/\alpha - s + G_\mu(s) + 2\varepsilon + \gamma)n} \cdot 3^t d^{t/2} 2^{-nt} \\ &= 3^t d^{t/2} \sum_{n \ge m} 2^{(1/\alpha - s + G_\mu(s) - t + 2\varepsilon + \gamma)n}.\end{aligned}$$

The series converges for $t > 1/\alpha - s + G_\mu(s) + 2\varepsilon + \gamma$, and it follows that

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}}\left(E_\alpha(\omega) \cap A_\varepsilon(s)\right) \le 1/\alpha + (G_\mu(s) - s) + 2\varepsilon + \gamma. \tag{10}$$

Since almost surely there is an N such that (9) holds, (10) holds almost surely for fixed s, γ and ε.

Let $s_j = 1/\alpha + j\varepsilon$ and write

$$E_\alpha(\omega) = \left(E_\alpha(\omega) \cap \{x;\, \underline{d}_\mu(x) \le 1/\alpha\}\right) \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(E_\alpha(\omega) \cap A_\varepsilon(s_j)\right).$$

Then by (10),

$$\begin{aligned}\dim_{\mathrm{H}} E_\alpha(\omega) &\le \max\Big\{F_\mu(1/\alpha),\, \max_j \dim_{\mathrm{H}}(E_\alpha(\omega) \cap A_\varepsilon(s_j))\Big\} \\ &\le \max\Big\{F_\mu(1/\alpha),\, 1/\alpha + \max_j (G_\mu(s_j) - s_j) + 2\varepsilon + \gamma\Big\},\end{aligned}$$

and letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ and then $\gamma \to 0$ concludes the proof. □

8. Proof of Proposition 3

If K is a subset of $\mathbf{R}^d$ we let K_r denote the r-fattening of K, that is,

$$K_r = \{x;\, \operatorname{dist}(x, K) \le r\}.$$

Lemma 16. *Let β, c, s and ε be positive numbers, let K be a subset of $\mathbf{R}^d$ such that $\overline{\dim}_{\mathrm{B}} K = \beta$ and let μ be a measure on $\mathbf{R}^d$ such that for every $x \in K$ and every $r > 0$, it holds that $\mu\big(\overline{B}(x, r)\big) \le c r^s$. Then there is a constant C such that for every $r > 0$,*

$$\mu(K_r) \le C r^{s - (\beta + \varepsilon)}.$$

Proof. For $r > 0$, let $N(r)$ be the minimal number of closed balls of radius r needed to cover K. By the definition of the upper box dimension, there is then a constant γ such that $N(r) \le \gamma r^{-(\beta+\varepsilon)}$ for every $r > 0$.

Fix $r > 0$ and let $y_1, \dots, y_{N(r/2)}$ be points in $\mathbf{R}^d$ such that the balls $\big\{\overline{B}(y_i, r/2)\big\}_{i=1}^{N(r/2)}$ cover K. Then every $\overline{B}(y_i, r/2)$ intersects K, since otherwise K could be covered by $N(r/2) - 1$ balls of radius $r/2$, contradicting

the minimality of $N(r/2)$. Thus there are $x_1, \dots, x_{N(r/2)} \in K$ such that

$$K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{N(r/2)} \overline{B}(x_i, r), \qquad \text{and hence} \qquad K_r \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{N(r/2)} \overline{B}(x_i, 2r).$$

It follows that

$$\mu(K_r) \le N(r/2) \cdot c(2r)^s \le 2^{s+\beta+\varepsilon} c\gamma r^{s-(\beta+\varepsilon)}. \qquad \square$$

We can now prove Proposition 3. The proof is based on Lemma 16, but is otherwise very similar to the proof of Proposition 15.

Proof of Proposition 3. For $a \le b$ let

$$A_a^b = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^d;\, a \le \underline{d}_\mu(x) \le b\}.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $s_j = 1/\alpha + j\varepsilon$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots$ Then,

$$E_\alpha(\omega) = \Big(E_\alpha(\omega) \cap A_0^{s_0}\Big) \cup \Big(\bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} E_\alpha(\omega) \cap A_{s_j}^{s_{j+1}}\Big),$$

and thus

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}} E_\alpha(\omega) \le \max\left(H_\mu(1/\alpha),\, \max_{j\ge 0} \dim_{\mathrm{H}} E_\alpha(\omega) \cap A_{s_j}^{s_{j+1}}\right). \tag{11}$$

It will be shown for $s \ge 1/\alpha$ that for $\mathbf{P}_\mu$-a.e. ω,

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}} \left(E_\alpha(\omega) \cap A_s^{s+\varepsilon}\right) \le H_\mu(s+\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{\alpha} - s + 4\varepsilon; \tag{12}$$

letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ in (11) then proves the proposition, using that $\bar{H}_\mu = \tilde{H}_\mu$ since H_μ is increasing.

Fix $s \ge 1/\alpha$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $\beta = H_\mu(s+\varepsilon) + \varepsilon$. The set $A_s^{s+\varepsilon}$ can be decomposed as

$$A_s^{s+\varepsilon} = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} K_m,$$

where

$$K_m = \left\{x \in A_s^{s+\varepsilon};\, \mu(\overline{B}(x,r)) \le m r^{s-\varepsilon} \text{ for all } r > 0\right\},$$

and each K_m can be decomposed as

$$K_m = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} K_m^i,$$

where $\overline{\dim}_{\mathrm{B}} K_m^i \le \beta$ for all i (using that $K_m \subset A_0^{s+\varepsilon}$ and (1)).

Fix m and i, and for $\omega \in \Omega$ let

$$G(\omega) = \left\{k;\, \overline{B}\big(\omega_k, k^{-\alpha}\big) \cap K_m^i \ne \emptyset\right\}$$

and let $\{k_n(\omega)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be the strictly increasing enumeration of $G(\omega)$. By Lemma 16, there is a constant C_1 such that for every $r > 0$,

$$\mu\left((K_m^i)_r\right) \le C_1 r^{s-\beta-2\varepsilon},$$

so that

$$\mathbf{E}\left(\#G(\omega) \cap [1, 2^n]\right) \le C_2 2^{(1-\alpha(s-\beta-2\varepsilon))n}$$

for some constant C_2 and all n. Thus by Markov's inequality,

$$\mathbf{P}_\mu\left(\left\{\omega;\ \#G(\omega)\cap[1,2^n]\geq 2^{(1-\alpha(s-\beta-3\varepsilon))n}\right\}\right)\leq C_2 2^{-\varepsilon n}.$$

The Borel–Cantelli lemma then implies that for $\mathbf{P}_\mu$-a.e. ω there is a constant $C_3=C_3(\omega)$ such that

$$\#G(\omega)\cap[1,2^n]\leq C_3 2^{(1-\alpha(s-\beta-3\varepsilon))n}$$

for all n. Thus for a.e. ω there is a constant $C_4=C_4(\omega)$ such that

$$k_n(\omega)\geq C_4 n^{1/(1-\alpha(s-\beta-3\varepsilon))},$$

or equivalently,

$$k_n(\omega)^{-\alpha}\leq C_4^{-\alpha} n^{-\alpha/(1-\alpha(s-\beta-3\varepsilon))}$$

for all n. For such ω, the trivial upper bound for the Hausdorff dimension gives

$$\begin{aligned}\dim_{\mathrm{H}}\left(E_\alpha(\omega)\cap K_m^i\right)&=\dim_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\limsup_n \overline{B}\left(x,k_n(\omega)^{-\alpha}\right)\right)\\&\leq\frac{1-\alpha(s-\beta-3\varepsilon)}{\alpha}=\frac{1}{\alpha}-s+H_\mu(s+\varepsilon)+4\varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

The inequality (12) follows by the countable stability of the Hausdorff dimension. □

9. Proofs to Example 5

9.1. **Some lemmas.** In this section the analogue of f_μ in a more general setting will be considered. Let X be a separable metric space (separable in order to ensure that measures have well-defined supports), let $\underline{r}=(r_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of positive numbers and let $\underline{\mu}=(\mu_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of probability measures on X. Let $\Omega=X^{\mathbf{N}}$ and let $\mathbf{P}_{\underline{\mu}}=\times_{k=1}^\infty\mu_k$. For $\omega\in\Omega$, let

$$E_{\underline{r}}(\omega)=\limsup_k \overline{B}(\omega_k,r_k).$$

As before, $\dim_{\mathrm{H}} E_{\underline{r}}(\omega)$ is $\mathbf{P}_{\underline{\mu}}$-a.s. constant—denote the a.s. value by $f_{\underline{\mu}}(\underline{r})$. If $\underline{\mu}=(\mu)$ is a constant sequence, then $f_{\underline{\mu}}$ will also be denoted by f_μ, and if $\underline{r}=(k^{-\alpha})_{k=1}^\infty$ then $f_{\underline{\mu}}(\underline{r})$ will also be denoted by $f_{\underline{\mu}}(\alpha)$.

Let $\Sigma=\{0,1\}^{\mathbf{N}}$, and if p is a number in $[0,1]$, let $\mathbf{P}_p$ be the probability measure on Σ given by $\mathbf{P}_p=\times_{k=1}^\infty\left((1-p)\delta_0+p\delta_1\right)$.

In the proofs of Lemma 17 and 18 below, the only properties of the Hausdorff dimension that are used are that it is monotone,

$$A\subset B\quad\Rightarrow\quad\dim_{\mathrm{H}}A\leq\dim_{\mathrm{H}}B,$$

and that it is finitely stable,

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}}\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k=\max\left\{\dim_{\mathrm{H}}A_k;\ 1\leq k\leq n\right\}.$$

Hence the statements of these lemmas, and also Lemma 19, are still true if the Hausdorff dimension is replaced by any other "dimension" that has these properties, for instance the packing dimension.

Lemma 17. *Let $(A_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of subsets of X and for $\sigma \in \Sigma$, let*

$$A(\sigma) = \limsup_{\sigma_k=1} A_k.$$

Let $p \in (0,1]$. Then for $\mathbf{P}_p$-a.e. σ,

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}} A(\sigma) = \dim_{\mathrm{H}} A,$$

where $A = \limsup_k A_k$.

Proof. Let $H = (\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})^{\mathbf{N}}$ and let $\mathbf{Q}$ be the product measure on H that projects to Lebesgue measure on each component. Define the map $\pi\colon H \to \Sigma$ by

$$\pi(\eta)_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \eta_k \in [0,p) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $\mathbf{P}_p = \mathbf{Q} \circ \pi^{-1}$, and thus it suffices to show that $\dim_{\mathrm{H}} A(\pi(\eta)) = \dim_{\mathrm{H}} A$ for $\mathbf{Q}$-a.e. η. The inequality $\leq$ holds for *every* η since $A(\pi(\eta)) \subset A$.

To see the opposite inequality, let N be a natural number such that $Np \geq 1$. Fix η and a point $x \in X$, and for $i = 0, \ldots, N-1$, let

$$K_i = \{k;\, x \in A_k \text{ and } \eta_k \in [0,p) + i/N\}.$$

Then x lies in A if any only if $\bigcup_{i=0}^{N-1} K_i$ is infinite, and this happens if and only if there is some i such that K_i is infinite. Thus

$$A = \bigcup_{i=0}^{N-1} \limsup\{A_k;\, \eta_k \in [0,p) + i/N\} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{N-1} A\big(\pi(\eta - (i/N)^{\infty})\big),$$

where the addition in the last expression is coordinate-wise in $\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$ and $(i/N)^{\infty}$ denotes the constant sequence with all entries equal to i/N. Hence by the finite stability of the Hausdorff dimension, there is for every η some i such that

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}} A\left(\pi(\eta - (i/N)^{\infty})\right) = \dim_{\mathrm{H}} A,$$

and it follows that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N} \chi_G(\eta - (i/N)^{\infty}) \geq 1,$$

where

$$G = \left\{\eta \in H;\, \dim_{\mathrm{H}} A(\pi(\eta)) \geq \dim_{\mathrm{H}} A\right\}.$$

Integrating this, and using the translation invariance of $\mathbf{Q}$, gives

$$1 \leq \sum_{i=0}^{N} \int \chi_G(\eta - (i/N)^{\infty})\, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{Q}\,(\eta) = N \int \chi_G(\eta)\, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{Q}\,(\eta) = N\mathbf{Q}(G).$$

This shows that the event $\dim_{\mathrm{H}} A(\pi(\eta)) \geq \dim_{\mathrm{H}} A$ has positive $\mathbf{Q}$-probability, and since it is a tail event it must then have full probability. □

Lemma 18. *Let $\underline{\mu}^0$ and $\underline{\mu}^1$ be two sequences of probability measures on X, let $p \in (0,1)$, and define the sequence $\underline{\nu}$ of probability measures on X by $\nu_k = (1-p)\mu_k^0 + p\mu_k^1$. Then for every sequence $\underline{r}$ of positive numbers,*

$$f_{\underline{\nu}}(\underline{r}) = \max\left(f_{\underline{\mu}^0}(\underline{r}), f_{\underline{\mu}^1}(\underline{r})\right).$$

Proof. Define the map $\pi\colon \Sigma \times \Omega \times \Omega \to \Omega$ by

$$\pi(\sigma, \boldsymbol{\omega})_k = \omega_k^{\sigma_k},$$

where $\boldsymbol{\omega} = (\omega^0, \omega^1) \in \Omega \times \Omega$, and let $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{P}_p \times \mathbf{P}_{\underline{\mu}^0} \times \mathbf{P}_{\underline{\mu}^1}$. Then $\mathbf{P}_{\underline{\nu}} = \mathbf{Q} \circ \pi^{-1}$, so it is enough to show that

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}} E_{\underline{r}}(\pi(\sigma, \boldsymbol{\omega})) = \max\left(f_{\underline{\mu}^0}(\underline{r}), f_{\underline{\mu}^1}(\underline{r})\right)$$

for $\mathbf{Q}$-a.e. $(\sigma, \boldsymbol{\omega})$. For $i = 0, 1$, let

$$A_i(\sigma, \boldsymbol{\omega}) = \limsup_{\sigma_k = i} \overline{B}\big(\omega_k^i, r_k\big).$$

Then for each fixed $\boldsymbol{\omega}$

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}} A_i(\sigma, \boldsymbol{\omega}) = \dim_{\mathrm{H}} E_{\underline{r}}(\omega^i)$$

for $\mathbf{P}_p$-a.e. σ by Lemma 17, and hence

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}} A_i(\sigma, \boldsymbol{\omega}) = f_{\underline{\mu}^i}(\underline{r})$$

for $\mathbf{Q}$-a.e. $(\sigma, \boldsymbol{\omega})$. Since

$$E_{\underline{r}}(\pi(\sigma, \boldsymbol{\omega})) = A_0(\sigma, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \cup A_1(\sigma, \boldsymbol{\omega})$$

for every $(\sigma, \boldsymbol{\omega})$, the lemma now follows by the finite stability of the Hausdorff dimension. □

Lemma 19. *Let $\underline{\mu}$ and $\underline{\nu}$ be sequences of probability measures on X and assume that $\mu_k \ll \nu_k$ for every k, and that the densities $\mathrm{d}\mu_k/\mathrm{d}\nu_k$ are bounded uniformly in k. Then $f_{\underline{\mu}}(\underline{r}) \le f_{\underline{\nu}}(\underline{r})$ for every $\underline{r}$.*

Proof. Let $C > 1$ be such that $\mathrm{d}\mu_k/\mathrm{d}\nu_k < C$ for every k, and define $\widetilde{\mu}_k$ by

$$\mathrm{d}\widetilde{\mu}_k = \frac{1}{C-1}\left(C - \frac{\mathrm{d}\mu_k}{\mathrm{d}\nu_k}\right)\mathrm{d}\nu_k.$$

Then for every k,

$$\nu_k = \frac{1}{C}\mu_k + \frac{C-1}{C}\widetilde{\mu}_k,$$

and the lemma follows from Lemma 18. □

Let Y be a measurable space and let $x \mapsto \mu^x$ be a measure-valued function defined on X and taking values in the set of probability measures on Y. Assume that the function $x \mapsto \mu^x(A)$ is measurable for every measurable subset A of Y, and let ν be a probability measure on X. Then it is not difficult to check that the set function

$$A \mapsto \int \mu^x(A)\,\mathrm{d}\nu\,(x)$$

is a probability measure on Y. It will be denoted by $\int \mu^x\,\mathrm{d}\nu\,(x)$.

If $(\mu_k^x)_{k=1}^K$ is a finite or countable ($K = \infty$) sequence of measure-valued functions according to the above, and $(\nu_k)_{k=1}^K$ is a sequence of probability measures on X, then

$$\int \bigtimes_k \mu^{x_k}\,\mathrm{d}\Big(\bigtimes_k \nu_k\Big)\,(\underline{x}) = \bigtimes_k \int \mu_k^x\,\mathrm{d}\nu_{\,k}(x). \tag{13}$$

(the first integral is over X^K, and the measures on both sides are probability measures on Y^K). For finite K this is an easy consequence of Fubini's theorem, and then it follows for $K = \infty$ since the two measures in (13) agree on cylinders in $Y^{\mathbf{N}}$.

Lemma 20. *Let μ and ν be probability measures on X and let r and C be positive numbers such that,*

$$\mu\left(B(x,2r)\right) \leq C\nu\left(B(x,r)\right)$$

for every $x \in \operatorname{supp}\mu$. Define the probability measure λ on X by

$$\lambda = \int_{G_r} \mu^x \,\mathrm{d}\nu\,(x),$$

where

$$G_r = \{x \in X;\ \mu(B(x,r)) > 0\}$$

and

$$\mu^x = \frac{\mu|_{B(x,r)}}{\mu(B(x,r))}.$$

Then $\mu \ll \lambda$ and $\mathrm{d}\mu/\mathrm{d}\lambda \leq C$.

Proof. It will be shown that $\mathrm{d}\lambda = \rho\,\mathrm{d}\mu$, where $\rho \geq C^{-1}$ μ-a.e. Using the definition of λ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda(A) &= \int_{G_r} \frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} \chi_A(y)\,\mathrm{d}\mu\,(y)\,\mathrm{d}\nu\,(x) \\
&= \iint_{|x-y|<r} \chi_A(y) \frac{\chi_{G_r}(x)}{\mu(B(x,r))}\,\mathrm{d}\nu\,(x)\,\mathrm{d}\mu\,(y) \\
&= \int \chi_A(y) \int_{B(y,r)} \frac{\chi_{G_r}(x)}{\mu(B(x,r))}\,\mathrm{d}\nu\,(x)\,\mathrm{d}\mu\,(y) = \int_A \rho(y)\,\mathrm{d}\mu\,(y),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\rho(y) = \int_{B(y,r)} \frac{\chi_{G_r}(x)}{\mu(B(x,r))}\,\mathrm{d}\nu\,(x).$$

If $y \in \operatorname{supp}\mu$ and $x \in B(y,r)$ then $\mu(B(x,r)) > 0$ since $B(x,r)$ is an open set containing y. This shows that $B(y,r) \subset G_r$ for $y \in \operatorname{supp}\mu$, and hence for μ-a.e. y,

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(y) &= \int_{B(y,r)} \frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))}\,\mathrm{d}\nu\,(x) \geq \int_{B(y,r)} \frac{1}{\mu(B(y,2r))}\,\mathrm{d}\nu\,(x) \\
&= \frac{\nu(B(y,r))}{\mu(B(y,2r))} \geq \frac{1}{C}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 21. *Let $\underline{\mu}$ and $\underline{\nu}$ be sequences of probability measures on X and let $\underline{r}$ be a sequence of positive numbers. Assume that there is a constant C such that for $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ and every $x \in \operatorname{supp}\mu_k$,*

$$\mu_k(B(x,2r_k)) \leq C\nu_k(B(x,r_k)).$$

Then $f_{\underline{\mu}}(\underline{r}) \leq f_{\underline{\nu}}(2\underline{r})$.

Proof. For $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ and $x \in X$ such that $\mu_k(B(x, r_k)) > 0$, let

$$\mu_k^x = \frac{\mu_k|_{B(x,r_k)}}{\mu_k(B(x, r_k))}$$

and define the probability measures λ_k by

$$\lambda_k = \int_{\{x;\, \mu_k(B(x,r_k))>0\}} \mu_k^x \, \mathrm{d}\nu_k(x).$$

For every k, $\mu_k \ll \lambda_k$ with $\mathrm{d}\mu_k/\mathrm{d}\nu_k \le C$ by Lemma 20, and hence $f_{\underline{\mu}}(\underline{r}) \le f_{\underline{\lambda}}(\underline{r})$ by Lemma 19. Thus it remains to show that $f_{\underline{\lambda}}(\underline{r}) \le f_{\underline{\nu}}(2\underline{r})$.

Let $t = f_{\underline{\lambda}}(\underline{r})$ and $G = \{\omega \in \Omega;\, \dim_{\mathrm{H}} E_{2\underline{r}}(\omega) \ge t\}$. For $\omega \in \Omega$, let $\mathbf{P}_{\underline{\mu}}^{\omega} = \times_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k^{\omega_k}$. Then the probability measure $\mathbf{P}_{\underline{\lambda}} = \times_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k$ can also be expressed, by (13), as

$$\mathbf{P}_{\underline{\lambda}} = \int \mathbf{P}_{\underline{\mu}}^{\omega} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{P}_{\underline{\nu}}(\omega).$$

For every fixed $\omega \in \Omega$, it holds that $E_{\underline{r}}(\eta) \subset E_{2\underline{r}}(\omega)$ for $\mathbf{P}_{\underline{\mu}}^{\omega}$-a.e. $\eta \in \Omega$, and thus

$$\chi_G(\omega) \ge \mathbf{P}_{\underline{\mu}}^{\omega}\{\eta \in \Omega;\, \dim_{\mathrm{H}} E_{\underline{r}}(\eta) \ge t\}.$$

Integrating this gives

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}_{\underline{\nu}}(G) &\ge \int \mathbf{P}_{\underline{\mu}}^{\omega}\{\eta \in \Omega;\, \dim_{\mathrm{H}} E_{\underline{r}}(\eta) \ge t\} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{P}_{\underline{\nu}}(\omega) \\ &= \mathbf{P}_{\underline{\lambda}}(\{\eta \in \Omega;\, \dim_{\mathrm{H}} E_{\underline{r}}(\eta) \ge t\}) = 1.\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 22. *Let μ and θ be probability measures on X, let $(V_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of Borel subsets of X such that $0 < \mu(V_k) < 1$ for every k, and let $(r_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a decreasing sequence of positive numbers. Define*

$$p_k = \mu(V_k), \qquad M_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i,$$

$$k_n = \min\{k;\, M_k/2 \ge n\}, \qquad \rho_n = \frac{1}{2} r_{k_n},$$

$$\mu_k^0 = \frac{\mu|_{V_k^c}}{\mu(V_k^c)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_k^1 = \frac{\mu|_{V_k}}{\mu(V_k)}.$$

Assume that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{M_k}{\log k} = \infty,$$

and that there is a constant C such that for every k and every $x \in \operatorname{supp}\theta$,

$$\theta(B(x, 2r_k)) \le C\mu_k^1(B(x, r_k)).$$

Then $f_{\mu}(\underline{r}) \ge f_{\theta}(\underline{\rho})$.

Proof. For $\sigma \in \Sigma$, let

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mu}^{\sigma} = \bigtimes_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k^{\sigma_k},$$

and define the probability measure $\mathbf{P}_{\underline{p}}$ on Σ by

$$\mathbf{P}_{\underline{p}} = \bigtimes_{k=1}^{\infty} \left((1 - p_k)\delta_0 + p_k\delta_1\right).$$

For every k,

$$\mu = (1-p_k)\mu_k^0 + p_k\mu_k^1,$$

and thus by (13),

$$\mathbf{P}_\mu = \int \mathbf{P}_\mu^\sigma \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{P}_{\underline{p}}(\sigma).$$

Let $t = f_\theta(\underline{\rho})$, and define the sets

$$G = \{\omega \in \Omega;\ \dim_{\mathrm{H}} E_{\underline{r}}(\omega) \geq t\}$$

and

$$A = \left\{ \sigma \in \Sigma;\ \exists n_0 \text{ s.t. } \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma_k \geq M_n/2 \text{ for every } n \geq n_0 \right\}.$$

For $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, let

$$E_{\underline{r}}(\sigma,\omega) = \limsup_{\sigma_k=1} \overline{B}(\omega_k, r_k),$$

and define for $\sigma \in \Sigma$,

$$G_\sigma = \{\omega \in \Omega;\ \dim_{\mathrm{H}} E_{\underline{r}}(\sigma,\omega) \geq t\}.$$

Then $G_\sigma \subset G$ for every σ, and $\mathbf{P}_{\underline{p}}(A) = 1$ by Lemma 8, and hence

$$\mathbf{P}_\mu(G) = \int \mathbf{P}_\mu^\sigma(G)\, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{P}_{\underline{p}} \geq \int_A \mathbf{P}_\mu^\sigma(G_\sigma)\, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{P}_{\underline{p}}\,.$$

To conclude the proof, it will be shown that $\mathbf{P}_\mu^\sigma(G_\sigma) = 1$ for every $\sigma \in A$.

So fix $\sigma \in A$, and let $(l_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be the strictly increasing enumeration of $\{l;\ \sigma_l = 1\}$. Define $\pi\colon \Omega \to \Omega$ by

$$\pi(\omega)_n = \omega_{l_n}.$$

Then

$$\mathbf{P}_\mu^\sigma \circ \pi^{-1} = \bigtimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_{l_n}^1,$$

and

$$E_{2\underline{\rho}}(\pi(\omega)) \subset E_{\underline{r}}(\sigma,\omega)$$

since (r_k) is decreasing. Thus by Lemma 21, $\mathbf{P}_\mu^\sigma$-a.e. ω is such that

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}} E_{\underline{r}}(\sigma,\omega) \geq \dim_{\mathrm{H}} E_{2\underline{\rho}}(\pi(\omega)) \geq f_\theta(\underline{\rho}). \qquad \square$$

9.2. **Continuing the example.** In this section, μ denotes the measure defined in the example and C is the ternary Cantor set. Recall that for $r \in (0,1)$, the set C_r is the r-fattening of C, that is,

$$C_r = \{x;\ \mathrm{dist}(x, C) \leq r\}.$$

(Technically, this does not conflict with the notation C_k for the k:th approximation to C, since k is an integer and r is not.)

Lemma 23. *For every $r \in (0,1)$,*

$$2^{-(\beta-1)} r^{(\beta-1)\frac{\log 2}{\log 3}} \leq \mu(C_r) \leq 2^{2(\beta-1)} r^{(\beta-1)\frac{\log 2}{\log 3}}.$$

Proof. Fix r and let n be the unique integer such that $3^{-(n+1)} \le r < 3^{-n}$. Then $C_{n+1} \subset C_r$ and $C_r \cap \operatorname{supp} \mu_k = \emptyset$ for $k \le n-2$. Thus

$$(1-2^{1-\beta}) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} 2^{(1-\beta)k} \le \mu(C_r) \le (1-2^{1-\beta}) \sum_{k=n-1}^{\infty} 2^{(1-\beta)k},$$

or equivalently,

$$2^{-(\beta-1)(n+1)} \le \mu(C_r) \le 2^{-(\beta-1)(n-1)}.$$

Using that

$$2^{-n} = 3^{-n\frac{\log 2}{\log 3}} \in \left[r^{\frac{\log 2}{\log 3}}, 2r^{\frac{\log 2}{\log 3}} \right]$$

gives the stated estimates. □

Example 5 (continued)**.** Let θ be the uniform probability measure on C. Let $r_k = k^{-\alpha}$ and $V_k = C_{r_k}$, and define p_k, M_k, k_n and ρ_n as in Lemma 22. By Lemma 23, there is a constant c_1 such that $p_k \ge k^{-\alpha\gamma}$, where $\gamma = (\beta-1)\frac{\log 2}{\log 3} = s_1 - d_1$, and thus there is another constant c_2 such that $M_k \ge c_2 k^{1-\alpha\gamma}$. Note that

$$\alpha\gamma = \alpha(s_1 - d_1) \in (0,1),$$

since $0 < d_1 < s_1$ and it is assumed that $1/\alpha \le s_1$. In particular,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{M_k}{\log k} = \infty.$$

Consider an arbitrary point $x \in C$. It is known that there is a constant c_3 such that $\theta(B(x, 2r_k)) \le c_3 r_k^{d_1}$, and it was shown in the first part of the example that there is a constant c_4 such that $\mu(V_k \cap B(x, r_k)) = \mu(B(x, r_k)) \ge c_4 r_k^{s_1}$. By Lemma 23, there is a constant c_5 such that $\mu(V_k) \le c_5 r_k^{s_1-d_1}$. Thus

$$\theta(B(x,2r_k)) \le c_3 r_k^{d_1} = c_3 \frac{r_k^{s_1}}{r_k^{s_1-d_1}} \le \frac{c_3 c_5}{c_4} \frac{\mu(V_k \cap B(x,r_k))}{\mu(V_k)},$$

so that the hypotheses of Lemma 22 are satisfied (the constants c_3, c_4, c_5 are independent of x and k).

From $M_k \ge c_2 k^{1-\alpha\gamma}$ it follows that there is a constant c_6 such that $k_n \le c_6 n^{1/(1-\alpha\gamma)}$, and hence there is a constant c_7 such that $\rho_n \ge c_7 n^{-\alpha/(1-\alpha\gamma)}$. Thus by Lemma 22 and Theorem 1,

$$f_\mu(\alpha) \ge f_\theta\left(\frac{(1+\varepsilon)\alpha}{1-\gamma\alpha}\right) = \frac{1-\gamma\alpha}{(1+\varepsilon)\alpha}$$

for every sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, so that

$$f_\mu(\alpha) \ge \frac{1-\gamma\alpha}{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\alpha} - (s_1 - d_1).$$

References

[1] A. Durand, *On randomly placed arcs on the circle*, Recent Developments in Fractals and Related Fields, 343–351, Appl. Numer. Harmon. Anal. Birkhäuser, Boston, 2010.

[2] A. Dvoretzky, *On covering a circle by randomly placed arcs*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 42 (1956), 199–203.

[3] L. C. Evans, R. F. Gariepy, *Measure theory and Fine Properties of Functions*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, 1992.

[4] A.-H. Fan and J. Wu, *On the covering by small random intervals*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. 40 (2004) 125–131.
[5] D.-J. Feng, E. Järvenpää, M. Järvenpää, V. Suomala, *Dimensions of random covering sets in Riemann manifolds*, arXiv:1508.07881.
[6] T. Hagerup, C. Rüb, *A guided tour of Chernoff bounds*, Information Processing Letters, Volume 33, Issue 6, February 1990, 305–308.
[7] E. Järvenpää, M. Järvenpää, H. Koivusalo, B. Li, V. Suomala, *Hausdorff dimension of affine random covering sets in torus.* Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. 50 (2014), no. 4, 1371–1384.
[8] T. Persson, *A Note on Random Coverings of Tori*, Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society, 2015, 47 (1), 7–12.
[9] S. Seuret, *Inhomogeneous coverings of topological Markov shifts*, manuscript.
[10] L. Shepp, *Covering the circle with random arcs*, Israel J. Math. 11 (1972), 328–345.
[11] A. N. Shiryaev, *Probability*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 95, Second edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.

Fredrik Ekström, Centre for Mathematical Sciences, Lund University, Box 118, 22100 Lund, Sweden
E-mail address: fredrike@maths.lth.se

Tomas Persson, Centre for Mathematical Sciences, Lund University, Box 118, 22100 Lund, Sweden
E-mail address: tomasp@maths.lth.se